



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, BALTIMORE DISTRICT
2 HOPKINS PLAZA
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201-2930

CENAB-OPR-S

22 May 2025

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Approved Jurisdictional Determination in accordance with the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'"; (88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) as amended by the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming" (8 September 2023) ,¹ NAB-2025-60548-M53 (KRM Development /AJD)²

BACKGROUND. An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) is a Corps document stating the presence or absence of waters of the United States on a parcel or a written statement and map identifying the limits of waters of the United States on a parcel. AJDs are clearly designated appealable actions and will include a basis of JD with the document.³ AJDs are case-specific and are typically made in response to a request. AJDs are valid for a period of five years unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date or a District Engineer has identified, after public notice and comment, that specific geographic areas with rapidly changing environmental conditions merit re-verification on a more frequent basis.⁴

On January 18, 2023, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department of the Army ("the agencies") published the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States,'" 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) ("2023 Rule"). On September 8, 2023, the agencies published the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming", which amended the 2023 Rule to conform to the 2023 Supreme Court decision in *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S., 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023) ("*Sackett*").

This Memorandum for Record (MFR) constitutes the basis of jurisdiction for a Corps AJD as defined in 33 CFR §331.2. For the purposes of this AJD, we have relied on Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA),⁵ the 2023 Rule as amended,

¹ While the Revised Definition of "Waters of the United States"; Conforming had no effect on some categories of waters covered under the CWA, and no effect on any waters covered under RHA, all categories are included in this Memorandum for Record for efficiency.

² When documenting aquatic resources within the review area that are jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act (CWA), use an additional MFR and group the aquatic resources on each MFR based on the TNW, the territorial seas, or interstate water that they are connected to. Be sure to provide an identifier to indicate when there are multiple MFRs associated with a single AJD request (i.e., number them 1, 2, 3, etc.).

³ 33 CFR 331.2.

⁴ Regulatory Guidance Letter 05-02.

⁵ USACE has authority under both Section 9 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 but for convenience, in this MFR, jurisdiction under RHA will be referred to as Section 10.

CENAB-OPR

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), NAB-2025-60548-M53

as well as other applicable guidance, relevant case law, and longstanding practice in evaluating jurisdiction.

1. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS.

a. Provide a list of each individual feature within the review area and the jurisdictional status of each one (i.e., identify whether each feature is/is not a water of the United States and/or a navigable water of the United States).

i. NTW1: Non-tidal wetlands (0.03 acres), non-jurisdictional

ii. NTW2: Non-tidal wetlands (0.18 acres), non-jurisdictional

2. REFERENCES.

a. "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States,'" 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) ("2023 Rule")

b. "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming" 88 FR 61964 (September 8, 2023))

c. *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S. 651, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023)

d. "Memorandum To the Field Between the United States Department of The Army, United States Army Corps of Engineers and The United States Environmental Protection Agency Concerning the Proper Implementation Of 'Continuous Surface Connection' Under the Definition Of "Waters of The United States" Under the Clean Water Act" (March 12, 2025)

3. REVIEW AREA. The property is located at Lot 5 of the Chesapeake Bay Business Park, Queen Anne's County, Maryland and is bounded to the north and west by riparian forest, to the south and east by developments and a stormwater pond. The site is in the Cox Creek-Eastern Bay watershed⁶ (HUC: 020600020607), approximately 19,985-acres (31.22 square miles) in drainage area. The review area is approximately 10.55-acres and is primarily an open field with a large riparian forested area along the north and east edge of the property. The site has no ditches, but contains two small, palustrine emergent (PEM) wetlands located in the open field. A dirt road/trail separates the small non-tidal wetlands from the riparian forested area. The project site is the location of a proposed commercial/industrial development.

⁶<https://mywaterway.epa.gov/community/Log%20Canoe%20Cir,%20Stevensville,%20MD,%2021666,%20USA/overview>

CENAB-OPR

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), NAB-2025-60548-M53



Figure 1: Vicinity Map (Source: Google Earth, taken 05/09/2025)



Figure 2: Area of review (blue), non-jurisdictional features (red).

4. NEAREST TRADITIONAL NAVIGABLE WATER (TNW), THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER TO WHICH THE AQUATIC RESOURCE IS CONNECTED. The nearest TNW is the Chesapeake Bay, a traditionally navigable Section 10 water subject to the ebb and flow of tide, however there is no connection from the subject wetlands to the Chesapeake Bay, or any other TNW.
5. FLOWPATH FROM THE SUBJECT AQUATIC RESOURCES TO A TNW, THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER. Not applicable, as no connection was observed from the subject waters to a TNW. There is no flow from NTW1 or NTW2 to a TNW
6. SECTION 10 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS⁷: Describe aquatic resources or other features within the review area determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Include the size of each aquatic

⁷ 33 CFR 329.9(a) A waterbody which was navigable in its natural or improved state, or which was susceptible of reasonable improvement (as discussed in § 329.8(b) of this part) retains its character as “navigable in law” even though it is not presently used for commerce or is presently incapable of such use because of changed conditions or the presence of obstructions.

CENAB-OPR

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), NAB-2025-60548-M53

resource or other feature within the review area and how it was determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10.⁸ N/A

7. SECTION 404 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS: Describe the aquatic resources within the review area that were found to meet the definition of waters of the United States in accordance with the 2023 Rule as amended, consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett*. List each aquatic resource separately, by name, consistent with the naming convention used in section 1, above. Include a rationale for each aquatic resource, supporting that the aquatic resource meets the relevant category of "waters of the United States" in the 2023 Rule as amended. The rationale should also include a written description of, or reference to a map in the administrative record that shows, the lateral limits of jurisdiction for each aquatic resource, including how that limit was determined, and incorporate relevant references used. Include the size of each aquatic resource in acres or linear feet and attach and reference related figures as needed.

- a. Traditional Navigable Waters (TNWs) (a)(1)(i): N/A
- b. The Territorial Seas (a)(1)(ii): N/A
- c. Interstate Waters (a)(1)(iii): N/A
- d. Impoundments (a)(2): N/A
- e. Tributaries (a)(3): N/A
- f. Adjacent Wetlands (a)(4): N/A
- g. Additional Waters (a)(5): N/A

8. NON-JURISDICTIONAL AQUATIC RESOURCES AND FEATURES

a. Describe aquatic resources and other features within the review area identified in the 2023 Rule as amended as not "waters of the United States" even where they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs (a)(2) through (5). Include the type of excluded aquatic resource or feature, the size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to meet one of the exclusions listed in 33 CFR 328.3(b).⁹ N/A

b. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area that were determined to be non-jurisdictional because they do not meet one or more categories of

⁸ This MFR is not to be used to make a report of findings to support a determination that the water is a navigable water of the United States. The district must follow the procedures outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a determination that water is a navigable water of the United States subject to Section 10 of the RHA.

⁹ 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023)

CENAB-OPR

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), NAB-2025-60548-M53

waters of the United States under the 2023 Rule as amended (e.g., tributaries that are non-relatively permanent waters; non-tidal wetlands that do not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water).

i. NTW1 - This non-tidal wetland is a small, seasonally saturated depression (<0.10 acres) surrounded by dry land. This wetland meets the standard 3 parameter approach required by the 1987 Manual and Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Regional Supplement but does not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional feature.

ii. NTW2 - This non-tidal wetland is a small, seasonally saturated depression (<0.25 acres) surrounded by dry land. This wetland meets the standard 3 parameter approach required by the 1987 Manual and Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Regional Supplement but does not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional feature.

9. DATA SOURCES. List sources of data/information used in making determination. Include titles and dates of sources used and ensure that information referenced is available in the administrative record.

a. Site Visit 17 September 2024: Ms. Noreen Davis (Davis and Associates) and Ms. Jaclyn Kelleher (USACE) participated in a site visit to verify Davis and Associates' field delineation of the site. An additional site visit was conducted by M. Jaclyn Kelleher on 09 May 2025 once an AJD was requested by the applicant in order to confirm jurisdictional status of the features.

b. Plan Sheet dated 5 February 2025: USACE was provided with a plan sheet prepared by Davis, Moore, Shearon & Associates, LLC dated 5 February 2025 with the results of the field delineation. The original plans, wetland delimitation and wetland data forms were also provided in the Joint Permit Application provided on 17 April 2025.

c. Desktop Review: Desktop information reviewed included mapped wetland/streams feature via online geographic information systems (GIS), historic aerial imagery (1992 to present), United States Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory (USFWS NWI) Maps, and United States Geological Survey (USGS) Stream Stats Webpage.

CENAB-OPR

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), NAB-2025-60548-M53

10. OTHER SUPPORTING INFORMATION:



Figure 3: Non-tidal wetland 1 (NTW 1)

CENAB-OPR

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), NAB-2025-60548-M53



Figure 4: Non-tidal wetland 1 (NTW1), non-tidal wetland 2 (NTW2), and the dirt road/trail that separated the NTWs from the riparian forest.



Area of Review

Non-Jurisdictional
Feature